## DO GENERAL PARTNER INCENTIVES IMPACT THE DURATION OF PRIVATE EQUITY FUNDS?

Karan Bhanot, Alvarez College of Business, University of Texas at San Antonio, One UTSA Circle, San Antonio, TX, 78249, 210-458-7429, <a href="mailto:karan.bhanot@utsa.edu">karan.bhanot@utsa.edu</a>
Mohammadali Fallah, Craig School of Business, California State University Fresno, 5245 N Backer Ave, Fresno, CA 93740, 559-278-2626 <a href="mailto:mfallah@csufresno.edu">mfallah@csufresno.edu</a>
Jingjing Guo, Alvarez College of Business, University of Texas at San Antonio, One UTSA Circle, San Antonio, TX, 78249, 210-458-7392, <a href="mailto:yrs392@my.utsa.edu">yrs392@my.utsa.edu</a>

## **ABSTRACT**

Using private equity fund data for 2,855 funds initiated in the period 2000-2012, we ask how general partner (GP) incentives impact the duration of a fund. Consistent with the premise that GPs maximize the lifetime revenue of their firm, i.e., both fees from the current fund and expected future funds, we find that a fund's duration is shorter when GPs raise a follow-on fund, even when distributed capital is below 100%. Funds with very long durations (Zombie Funds) are more likely when incentives are misaligned-smaller funds with low distributed capital and low expectations of raising future funds.

**Keywords:** Private Equity, Managerial Incentives, Fund Duration, Agency Theory